

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,184	02/13/2002	William M. Traut		5310
7590 11/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Dykema Gossett, PLLC Suite 300 West			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, De	C 20005-3306		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/073,184	TRAUT ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE -544	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	lly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 45 from the mailing date of this communication				
Status	X.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Octobor 2004	:				
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Nance except for formal matter	0. process 4 4 - 4				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quavle 1935 C.D.	s, prosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	pante quayle, 1000 (.p.)	11, 433 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are rejected.	1					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lan alaut	-				
	701 election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abevance	See 37 CED 1 95(a)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to Sec. 27 OFB 4.40449						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigan a) All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Appli	cation No.				
or the prices of	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the international Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17 2(a))					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either the French reference (FR 2769850) or Oldham (4,649,677), in view of the German reference (DT 2617364).

The French reference discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture - the aperture of the conduit. The French reference further discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture - the aperture of the conduit. Claims 4-6 call for the conduit having different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Oldham discloses a septic tank (40) with an overhead pipe (46) through which methane gas

Art Unit: 1724

transported, pipe (46) connects to the horizontal pipe (see horizontal pipe in Fig. 11 which connects to pipe (46) which then transport the methane gas through filter (48). The filter (48) is contained in the horizontal pipe through which the gas flows so it would meet the disposed in at least one aperture limitation, i.e. the pipe aperture. Claims 1 and 3-19 differ from the disclosure of either the French reference or Oldham in that the aperture is in downward facing position and a fixture mounted on the leg. The German reference discloses a vent for a septic system including a conduit to convey gas with a downward facing aperture (see 3 in Fig. 2) comprising a leg configured to mount on, conceal a portion of and convey gas from the conduit wherein the leg is configured for mounting a fixture thereon. The German reference further discloses the leg closely or sealingly receives the conduit and configured to convey gas from the conduit to the passage, and a fixture mounted on the leg where the fixture is a statue or a garden ornament. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a vent for a septic system with a mounted fixture thereon as taught by the German reference in the conduit of either the French reference or Oldham to provide an artificial landscaping device adapted to fit over the septic system covers or vents and look fully natural to an unsuspecting observer by blending into the landscaping.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on October 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant amends independent claims 1 and 13 to have a downward facing aperture. The Examiner now introduces the French reference and Oldham as the primary references in combination with the German reference under the 103 rejection to show a downward facing aperture in the fixture mounted on the leg (see 3 in Fig. 2) wherein the aperture of the French reference or Oldham can be modified to have a downward facing position, as claimed, since the filter is contained within conduit, the filter is disposed in at least one aperture – the aperture of the conduit and the conduit can have different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

November 12, 2004